IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 24878 of 2004

Between:

N. Venkata Ratnam S/o N.Venkatesu,
R/o. 21/83, Gandhi Road,

Jammalamadugu, Kadapa District

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Secretary,

Regional Transport Authority,

Kadapa (Regional Transport Officer)

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent herein in not granting temporary permit on the town service route Jammalamadugu Old Bus Stand to Proddatur Old Bus Stand via Salivendula by keeping the petitioner's application, dt 2-11-2004 pending is illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent herein to consider the application of the petitioner dt 2-11-2004 sent through courier by granting temporary permit on the town service route Jammalamadagu Old Bus stand to Proddatur Old Bus stand via Salivendula forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. ARIFULLA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner contends that he made an application for regular permit of town service of Jammalamadugu town. When the permit was not granted by the Regional Transport authority, he preferred an application before the Transport Appellate Tribunal and the Tribunal was pleased to remand the matter to the Regional Transport Authority with certain directions. The matter is still pending with the Regional Transport Authority. The petitioner further contends that during the pendency of the said matter, he made an application to the respondent on 02-11-2004 for grant of temporary permit for the same route, but the respondent, so far, did not pass any orders on the said application. Therefore, he approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondent to consider his application and to pass appropriate orders.

2. In the light of the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner, the respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the application of the petitioner dated 02-11-2004, for grant of temporary permit, as per the rules in force.

3. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

(Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU,J)

25th April, 2005.

tsy

To

1. The Secretary,

Regional Transport Authority,

Kadapa (Regional Transport Officer)

2 Two CCs to the G.P for Transport, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad. (OUT)

3 Two CD copies.